UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,257	05/10/2007	Hirohisa Suwabe	Q94680	1367
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/25/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	WOODARD, JOYE L		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,257	SUWABE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOYE WOODARD	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ma</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange Property and Propert	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) △ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/06, 11/2/07, 9/3/09, 1/28/10.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1797

5DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 6 and 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

Art Unit: 1797

(2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the exhaust gas inlet side" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please note the recitation of "the exhaust gas inlet side" and "the exhaust gas outlet side" in line 3 of claim 4, "the ends" of said plugs in line 2 of claim 5 and "the inlet end" in line 4 of claim 5 also lack definite antecedent basis. Claim 7, line 7, should be revised to refer to "said plugs". Claim 7 lacks antecedent basis for "the exhaust gas outlet side" in line 8 and "the lower limit" in line 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/578,257

Art Unit: 1797

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 4

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a)/(e) as being anticipated by Otsubo et al. (U.S. 7,090,714). Otsubo et al. shows a ceramic honeycomb filter including plugs (13, 14) connecting adjacent honeycomb structures (11, 12) and having a gap (12a) in the flow path direction. See Figure 1(c) and lines 43 – 47 on col. 6 of Otsubo et al. which states "Useable as the plug members are those having the same outer structures as those of the honeycomb structures with desired flow paths, or honeycomb structures having a large number of flow paths partitioned by cell walls inside the outer wall with plugs formed in the desired flow paths." The use of a catalyst on the surface area of the honeycomb filter is mentioned on col. 13, line 38. The size of the gap is described as being 3 mm or less on col. 8, line 66 -line 4 on col. 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsubo et al. While the disclosure of Otsubo et al is not seen to specify the location of the ends of the plug in the manner recited in claim 5, lines 53 63 on col. 9 of Otsubo et al. clearly

Art Unit: 1797

indicates that a shorter length of gas flow path on the gas inlet side is desirable for reducing pressure loss. Accordingly, determination of the optimum inlet side length based on the overall length of the filter through routine experimentation would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

- 6. Claims 3, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsubo et al. as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Liu et al. The disclosure of Otsubo et al. is not specific to the particular catalyst intended for use in the filter nor the use of fuel injection for regeneration. Liu et al. is relied on to show these features to be well known in the art, and obvious for use in combination with the filter of Otsubo et al. See paragraphs (0029) and (0037) of Liu et al. To have modified the Otsuba et al. filter by providing the catalyst at a downstream end of the of the filter, as taught by Liu et al., would have been an obvious location along the length of the filter to promote the catalytic reaction as shown to be well known in the art not producing any new or unexpected results. Filter regeneration is a common practice in the art as noted in paragraph (0037) of Liu et al and would have constituted nothing more than an obvious modification of Otsuba for purposes of continuous operation
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirose et al. (US 7,645,427) has been cited of interest to show a honeycomb filter having slits (15) formed in the vicinity of the plugging portions of the partition walls.

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOYE WOODARD whose telephone number is (571)272-6246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9:00 to 3:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden, can be reached on (571)272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/J. W./
/Jill Warden/
Examiner Art Unit 1797
Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit

Examiner, Art Unit 1797 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797